

Extensive study of cross-polarized exciton absorption of single-walled carbon nanotubes by polarized photoluminescence excitation spectroscopy

Y. Miyauchi¹ and S. Maruyama²

¹*Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University,
Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan*

²*Department of Mechanical Engineering,
The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo,
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

Keywords : Optical properties of CNTs | Spectroscopic studies of CNTs

In our previous study [1], we found that some PLE peaks for cross-polarized excitation to the nanotube axis (E_{12} exciton absorption) can be clearly observed in the polarized PLE spectra of micelle-suspended SWNTs with relatively small diameters (< 1 nm). In this report, we have studied polarized PLE spectra of various (n, m) SWNTs in a surfactant suspension with diameters from 0.8 nm to 1.2 nm for a wide excitation range from UV to near IR using Xe lamp and Ti:Sapphire CW laser as light sources. In addition to bright E_{12} (or E_{21}) peaks for many (n, m) SWNTs, we observed small unassigned cross-polarized excitation peaks about 230 meV below the E_{12} peaks. We attribute these peaks to E_{12} dark excitons. For cross-polarized exciton absorption, it is predicted that the bonding combination of E_{12} and E_{21} at K and K' points becomes an optically active bright exciton and the anti-bonding combination becomes a dark exciton when considering symmetric structure between valence and conduction band [2]. However, the real band structure is known to be slightly asymmetric, and the degeneracy of E_{12} and E_{21} gap is imperfect due to this asymmetry. Hence, we expect that the dark exciton for E_{12} becomes slightly bright and could be observed. We also investigated the family pattern of E_{12} . Since the S1 band and S2 band are on opposite sides of the K point, the family effect is usually opposite for S1 and S2 bands. Hence, for the E_{12} excitation, family effects from the S1 and S2 bands are expected to be always cancelled out. We predict the family pattern of E_{12} using E_{11} and E_{22} just by taking the average of E_{11} and E_{22} with a simple diameter-dependent up-shift from the average value, and compare the observed E_{12} plot with the prediction. The satisfactory agreement of this prediction further supports our assignment of these cross-polarized excitation peaks as E_{12} (or E_{21}).

[1] Y. Miyauchi, M. Oba, S. Maruyama, Phys. Rev. B 74, 205440 (2006).

[2] H. Zhao and S. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 157402 (2004).